



KANSAS ASSOCIATION OF BEVERAGE RETAILERS

We are Kansans working for Kansas.

February 19, 2014

Amy Campbell - KABR – P.O. Box 3842, Topeka, KS 66604 – 785-969-1617 – campbell525@sbcglobal.net

Kansas Licensed Business

“It is a privilege, not a right, to have a Kansas Liquor License. The responsibilities of selling this highly regulated product were made clear to me when I invested in this business. It is according to this agreement – this contract with the State of Kansas that I have invested in the liquor store business and maintained those obligations in good faith.”

“Shouldn’t Kansas honor my investment by maintaining a stable and reliable business environment – instead of changing the rules mid-stream?”

Dennison Woods, Ken-Mar Liquor, Wichita

Contents of HB 2556

- Creates broad system of retail sales of strong beer, wine and spirits that includes new licenses over ten year time line. Expands sale of these products outside of liquor stores July 1, 2015.
- Requires new licensee to be issued to a grocery or convenience store (or liquor) – using a broad definition that will include additional businesses not currently contemplated by ABC estimates. The definitions include NAICS codes that are not strictly limited to typical grocery and convenience stores.
- Includes background requirements for corporate applicants owning more than 25%, this is a significantly lesser standard than currently required for other corporate licensees in the Liquor Control Act that sell to the public (such as Drinking Establishments)
- Removes the 21 years of age requirement for employees and substitutes a minimum 18 years of age .
- Continues to provide un-level playing field in terms of enforcement / different penalties.
- Allows for purchasing Class C licenses (strong beer, wine and spirits) and appears to allow a corporate licensee to sell liquor and non-liquor products in their businesses immediately, while liquor stores must still sell only liquor until 2020. No real acknowledgement of the negative impact on investments.

Time Line

July 1, 2014	Create Class C license allowing sale of strong beer, wine and spirits. Number of Class C licenses capped. 18 year old employees allowed. License fee doubled.
July 1, 2015	Allow Class C licenses to be held by corporate grocers, convenience stores and others who choose to purchase a license. (\$100 transfer fee)
2015 – 2017	Liquor stores are competing with the corporate retailers for the sale of strong beer, wine and spirits, but shall not expand their products or change their business model.
July 1, 2017	Create Class A license allowing Strong Beer sales by corporate grocers, convenience stores and others who apply for the license from ABC and pay license fee. Number of these licenses is UNLIMITED.
2017 – 2020	Liquor stores are competing with a limited number of corporate retailers for the sale of Strong Beer, Wine and Spirits (Class C), but shall not expand their products or change their business model. Liquor stores are also competing with an unlimited number of corporate retailers for the sale of Strong Beer (Class B), but shall not expand their products or change their business model.
July 1, 2020	Create Class B license allowing Strong Beer and Wine sales by corporate grocers, convenience stores and other who apply for the license from ABC and pay license fee. Number of these licenses is UNLIMITED.
2020-2024	Liquor stores are competing with a limited number of corporate retailers for the sale of Strong Beer, Wine and Spirits (Class C), and an UNLIMITED number of additional retailers for the sale of Strong Beer (Class A) and Strong Beer and Wine (Class B). Liquor stores may now expand their products or change their business model.
2024	Full implementation – no further restrictions on numbers of retailers selling Spirits, along with Strong Beer and Wine.

The COST to Kansas

Information from 2206 – 2556 fiscal note not yet posted.

- Sales Tax Reduction (from lost sales of CMB)
- Reduces revenue to local governments and to the State Highway Fund.
- State General Fund loss = (\$1,845,000) 3% transfer to city/county each year
- \$1.5 million FY 14 expenditure for ABC
- \$1.6 million FY 15 expenditure for ABC
- This does not include lost property taxes, payroll taxes and other revenue from the businesses that will close if HB 2206 is passed.

The Myth of the Moratorium

No legitimate license cap in HB 2556 – a temporary cap simply slows the decline of value.

There is no reason to assume any of the corporations wanting to enter the market will not wait to buy a license. While it provides an option, it is no meaningful safety net.

County restriction on buying licenses provides little or no protection for current successful businesses.

This bill, like other proposals we have seen, has an end to the cap on licenses – a cliff where all liquor store owners will see the value of their business plummet.

Licensees do not “own” their liquor license.

Will the license be attached to the property? Currently, a license does not automatically travel with the licensee.

Any numeric limitation on the number of years places the negotiating advantage squarely in the hands of the new entities.

Although advertised as an opportunity to “transition to a new business model” – the amended bill does not allow liquor stores to change their business model until 2020.

2008 DISCUS Analysis of Strong Beer Impact

- *“Currently, the 726 package stores allowed to sell full strength beer sell an estimated 17,600 cases per year. Accounting for both the new beer volumes and the new number of full strength beer licenses, the average number of cases sold per outlet will decline to around 4,480 cases per year.”*
- *For the new full strength beer licensees, most of the new volume will be incremental (except that volume which is replacing 3.2 beer sales). Thus, grocery and convenience stores will be able to sell comparatively low volumes of .. beer profitably. Obviously, this does not preclude large supermarkets from selling tremendous volumes. What it does mean, however, is that the 3,790 convenience and grocery stores in the state will be able to take sales away from traditional package stores.”*
- *“Accounting for both the lost spirits sales and lost beer sales, total package store revenues would decline from \$461.3 million to \$254.6 million – a 45% reduction.”*
- *“Clearly, not all businesses could withstand a 46% decline in revenues. As a result, we would expect a decline in the number of package stores.”
“The \$254.6 million in total package sales would support a total of 509 package stores. Thus, 217 package stores are projected to go out of business. Naturally, as the number of package stores declines, the availability of spirits will decline as well.”*
- *(The analysis relies on Kansas sales statistics, market analysis by Gallup Organization, Sept. 29, 2006; and tax receipts by the Kansas Department of Revenue.)*

Colorado Economic Impact Assessment by Summit Economics, LLC, 2009

- *“The Colorado Liquor Stores will lose 50 percent of full-strength beer sales to supermarkets and convenience stores in the first year alone. They will lose 70 percent of beer sales within 3 to 5 years. It is estimated that 40 percent or 700 of the stores will be forced to close within the first 3 years. This will result in the loss of 4,830 wage and self-employment jobs. Overall the Colorado Liquor Stores will lose \$700 million in annual revenues, resulting in a permanent \$90 million loss in annual wages and proprietor income earnings. These losses will continue through the fifth year. After the fifth year the new market structure will stabilize with 900 fewer stores. There will be 5,500 fewer jobs in the industry, resulting in a loss of \$120 million annually in employee and proprietor earnings.”*

Level Playing Field

- Enforcement – license the whole premises = whole premises suspension
- Purchasing power – big box and grocery stores have the benefit of space and volume. This gives them an advantage relating to purchasing during sale periods and access to allocated products.

“The proponents of this bill talk about level playing fields and say that liquor stores are “protected” by Kansas law. Last year, they even called liquor stores – who, by the way, are in direct competition with each other – a “monopoly”. This shows a lack of understanding in the Kansas retail liquor licensing system, which is already privatized and encourages competition. Even the cities can’t limit the number of liquor licenses issued in their borders.

In Manhattan, there has been a huge controversy about the downtown development project that helped to bring a Hy-Vee to our town. That project involved the city using eminent domain for the property, getting approval for the State of Kansas and the City of Manhattan to issue STAR bonds for public portions of the development, and using Tax Increment Financing for building the retail development. Tax Increment Financing means that the sales tax collected at the store is used to pay off the costs of the building project instead of going into the city and county sales tax fund or the State General Fund. Of course, at that time, Hy-Vee wasn’t going to be allowed to sell liquor. Can you imagine that Kansans would ever support using public funding to build a liquor store? Is this the free market they are talking about?” Michael Towne, The Library, Manhattan

The Cross Border War

In spite of the stories you hear, customers do not go to Missouri to buy liquor products because the wine is sitting on the shelf next to the cheese and fruit.

Missouri taxes are lower on food, fuel, tobacco and liquor. This bill will not change that.

What about Beer and Wine?

- Beer and Wine are defined in statute as alcoholic liquor.
- Many states that do allow strong beer or wine to be sold through corporate outlets have restrictions on alcohol content for the wine and the beer that can be sold.
- Every alcohol product is defined by alcohol content – whether the product is made from fruit or grain is irrelevant.
- Regardless of how often the lab tests comparing cmb Budweiser to strong Budweiser are repeated = strong beer is stronger than cereal malt beverage.
- Even with the differing units of measurement – alcohol by weight vs. alcohol by volume – strong beer is stronger than cereal malt beverage.
- Economic analysis of simply moving the strong beer products to 2300 additional outlets shows a loss of 217 retail liquor stores.
- Do not expect to save Kansas jobs and businesses by preserving spirits on their shelves. Any type of retailer can tell you that sales depend on traffic. Customer traffic will be reduced if their highest volume products are sold elsewhere.

State Regulatory Licensing –

There are a wide variety of state policies relating to how liquor is sold, and they reveal both the priorities of that state and the history of how prohibition was repealed in that particular state. Kansas has a particular issue relating to its constitution which makes changes to the definition of cereal malt beverage potentially unconstitutional as it changes the definition of alcoholic liquors / intoxicating liquors – a reference included in our constitution. Kansas represents an excellent balance between the desires of those who would expand access and availability and those who would prefer a more regulated model.

- **There are 17 control states.**
- **Of those, 6 states have city owned retail liquor stores and rarely allow private providers. 10 states have only state owned retailers, which allow no one but the state to sell spirits and/or wine. This may be paired with the sale of beer through licensed outlets.**
- **Many states differentiate the sale by packaged stores and convenience stores or grocery stores and the products they may carry, whether it is allowing wine in grocery stores, or 3.2 cereal malt beverages only.**
- **6 have separate licensing for 3.2 cereal malt beverage. In fact, some actually differentiate whether or not the product sold may be refrigerated. It is not correct to paint Kansas as the most strictly regulated of these states. In my research, one analysis painted New York as having very lenient liquor laws – and yet, New York does not allow wine or liquor sales in grocery stores.**
- **In fact, the majority of states do not have unrestricted licensing systems regarding allowing strong beer, wine and spirits to be sold by grocery and convenience stores and none have the system proposed in this bill.**

- **It is not true that states are rushing to open up their laws. The most recent major structural change was in Washington State – which privatized its retail sales. Typically state licensing structures haven't changed much since the eighties. South Dakota has been used as an example. That state, much like Missouri, allowed grocery stores to get into the liquor business around 1986.**
- **Proposals for Strong Beer and Wine sales have been rejected in recent years in Massachusetts, New York, Tennessee, Oklahoma and Colorado. Oklahoma and Colorado have very similar systems to our own. Deregulation also failed in Oregon.**
- **New Mexico has a system that allows for the transfer/sale of licenses because it has only 1000 licenses for the sale of spirits, wine and beer (on AND off premise). There are no other types of off premise licenses available. That restriction hasn't changed for 25 to 30 years and the result is that it can cost \$300,000 to \$700,000 to buy one of these licenses. Recently, NM created a restaurant license for selling wine and beer.**
- **Many states have distance or population restrictions for the number of liquor licenses issued. Kansas only has the restriction of prohibiting a liquor license within 200 feet of a church, school or college.**

The Case for Compliance

- **Kansas retail liquor stores have proven compliance rates in preventing underage sales – typically ranging from 80% to as high as 88%. There are no statewide compliance rates for grocery and convenience stores.**
- Now, the proponents would have you believe that they are better than liquor stores at checking I.D.s. They use tobacco compliance numbers to make this case. National statistics show that convenience and grocery stores have a worse record than liquor stores as it relates to selling alcoholic beverages.
- Tobacco sales = inventory separate from other items for sale / 18 year olds selling to 18 year olds
- The Kansas ABC does not track underage alcohol-sale compliance in convenience and grocery stores. It only tracks underage alcohol-sale compliance for Kansas owned retail liquor stores. Anyone who makes this claim is comparing convenience and grocery store tobacco compliance rates to Kansas liquor store alcohol compliance rates. This is comparing apples to oranges.
- What is a fact is that convenience and grocery stores have a much higher failure rate nationally than liquor stores when it comes to selling alcohol to minors. The National Research Council Institute of Medicine found 70% of minors nationwide purchase their alcohol from grocery and convenience stores.
- It also took the state of Kansas hundreds of thousands of dollars in order to get convenience stores to reach a high compliance rate! In 2005, Kansas convenience stores had a tobacco sales compliance rate of 62%.
- At that time, this forced Kansas to choose between taking a \$5.4 million reduction in SRS block grant funds or pay a \$2.2 million penalty to be used to raise the compliance rate. Is Kansas prepared to make the same investment again to develop compliance rates for the new category of licensees? See costs attached.

Examples

- A clerk from a liquor store in Junction City called KABR to verify that she had done the right thing in denying a sale to a mother who brought her teenage son into the store to pick out the products he wanted for a party. It was obvious he was making the selections. When the clerk denied the sale, the mother was upset and yelled at her. But this clerk did the right thing and complied with current rules. If she hadn't, she would have been violating the law.
- A store in Topeka often has an ABC agent parked in their lot watching 21 year olds coming over from the parking lot across the street where young people like to hang out. According to the agent, he knows the liquor store cards people, but he is able to watch the 21 or 22 year olds go across the street and give their purchases to the underage kids who are hanging out there. He was able to issue more than one citation from this practice.

The Free Market

Kansas must offer a reliable and stable regulatory environment to encourage investment and growth.

No State operates a Free Market for the sale of alcoholic liquor

- No other state operates the deregulation system proposed by Uncork Kansas.
- Even the Missouri model offers more regulation – with local licensing in addition to state licensing.
- Many of the states that allow alcoholic liquor to be sold in the corporate chain model are “control” states with contract relationships and a variety of restrictions.
- States that are not control states, but do allow similar deregulation utilize other limitations such as limiting the number of off premise licenses on either a statewide or local regulatory framework and using local regulatory licensing boards.
- Example: New Mexico – allows grocery sales of alcoholic liquor but limits the total number of liquor licenses to 1000 for the entire state. This license is for on premise or off premise sales of beer, wine and spirits. Other types of on premise licenses are newly available.
- Minnesota is a private retail state, and allows corporate sales, but requires the licensee to set up a separate restricted space, requires municipal license and state license, as well as a document signed by law enforcement regarding criminal background qualifications.

Liquor should not be sold like bread and milk.

- The Hall study makes the assessment that grocery retail models are better than liquor stores and that grocery retail jobs are better than liquor stores. Not true. See Review.
- “Prohibition era” laws regulate the sale of alcoholic liquor products in every state, since virtually all states made the decision about how the products would be sold around the time of repeal.
- The most recent deregulation changes to retail sales systems involve states going from control states to private retail states. Kansas IS ALREADY a private retail state.
- There is no trend of states deregulating private retail markets.
- Many states include a required separation of liquor products from other products.

Please oppose deregulation of
the Kansas retail liquor system.

This is not what is best for Kansas.

Thank you for your time.

Amy A. Campbell

Executive Director